



FILED

04/27/23

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

08:39 AM
A2203018

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U338E) for Authorization to Recover Costs Related to 2019 Winter Storms, 2020 Heatwaves, and 2020 Fires Recorded in the Catastrophic Event Memorandum Account.

Application 22-03-018

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING
ORDERING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

To the Parties in Application (A.) 22-03-018:

This ruling (Ruling) orders Southern California Edison Company (SCE) to respond to the following questions and provide the following information within 45 days of the issuance of this Ruling regarding its Application for Authorization to Recover Costs in the 2019-2020 Catastrophic Event Memorandum Account (CEMA) related to the 2019 Winter Storms, 2020 Heatwaves and 2020 Fires, and the Public Advocates Office at the California Public Utilities Commission (Cal Advocates) to reply within 15 days of SCE's response to this Ruling.

The questions for and information requests directed to SCE are as follows:

1. Provide an enumerated description, which may include tables or Excel spreadsheets, of the authorized funding in the General Rate Case (GRC), Decision (D.) 19-05-020, by year, including escalation years, and by cost category, for the operations and maintenance (O&M) expenses and capital costs compared to costs incurred in the CEMA for A.22-03-018. The enumerated description should clearly demonstrate how the costs are incremental by cost category relative to the GRC authorized amount.

2. Provide further explanation and data that “SCE did not incur 2019 storm-related O&M expenses above GRC-authorized amount and, therefore, is not seeking recovery of 2019 O&M storm expenses in this Application.”
3. Provide a description of the costs deemed non-incremental in A.22-03-018, Exhibit SCE-01, Table V-12 at 73, and how the non-incremental totals were determined for capital costs and O&M expenses.
4. Describe how “Costs of Removal” in A.22-03-018, Exhibit SCE-01, Table V-19 at 83 were incurred and how they are incremental to the removal cost approved in D.19-05-020, by year, including escalation years.
5. Describe how vegetation management costs were incurred as described in A.22-03-018, Exhibit SCE-01 at 28, 29, 35, and 49 and how they are incremental to the vegetation management costs approved in D.19-05-020, by year, including escalation years.
6. Did the exempt, salaried employees who received supplemental pay as described in A.22-03-018, Exhibits SCE-03 and SCE-04, receive incentive, bonus, recognition, or above salary pay in 2019 or 2020?
7. Provide any information demonstrating that the cost recovery requested in A.22-03-018, is for activities not addressed in D.22-06-032, *Decision Addressing SCE’s Track 3 Request for Recovery of Wildfire Mitigation Memorandum and Balancing Account Balances* in A.19-08-013, *Application of Southern California Edison Company for Authority to Increase its Authorized Revenues for Electric Service in 2021, among other things, and to Reflect that Increase in Rates*.
8. List all insurance claims related in any way to the costs SCE seeks recovery in A.22-03-018 and provide the amounts and status.

IT IS SO RULED that:

1. Within 45 days of this Ruling, Southern California Edison Company shall serve and file Response to the Administrative Law Judge Ruling Requesting Additional Information, using the same title.

2. Within 15 days after Southern California Edison Company files its response to this Ruling, Cal Advocates shall serve and file the Reply to Southern California Edison Company's Response to Administrative Law Judge Ruling Requesting Additional Information, using the same title.

Dated April 27, 2023 at San Francisco, California.

/s/ SUSAN F LEE

Susan F. Lee
Administrative Law Judge